Lake Forest Estates Clean Water District Board of Trustees Meeting June 13, 2024 6:04 pm

Call to Order: Chairman Mark Basler

Roll Call: Mark Basler, Jim Donze, Don Kuehn, Eric Kelley and Bruce Giesler, all in attendance.

Approval of Agenda: Jim Donze made a motion to approve the June agenda. Motion was seconded by Eric Kelley. Motion passed with all in favor.

Approval of the May Minutes: Don Kuehn made a motion to approve. Seconded by Eric Kelley. Motion passed with all in favor.

Chairman Basler: Rich Cochran with Waters Engineering is here to share information on the status of the design and the submission of the plan to the Department of Natural Resources (DNR). We will divert from the planned agenda and have Rich present the latest information and plan.

Rich Cochran: The plans were submitted to the DNR in early January (2024). As of today, there are changes required to the design that will increase the maintenance cost and limits allowed in Big Bottom Creek.

I'm here to present options along with information for each option.

Exhibit A (attached)

The only change on the attachment is under land application: The DNR has required that all treated water applied to the land must have a depth of 5 feet of soil. Lake Forest does not have nor has the option of purchasing soil with a depth of 5 feet.

With land application off the table, your best option is to use Indian Creek as the receiving stream.

Q: What happens to our abatement order?

A: The DNR is willing to meet with us to work through the options. We plan to have the DNR come to Lake Forest Estates to discuss this in the near future.

Q: Are we still required to have the same limits for Indian Creek?

A: The limits are less stringent due to Indian Creek is not on the impaired list with the DNR.

Q: If we go with Indian Creek option, will we still have to repair the pipes in the lakes?

A: Yes. The replacement of the pipes will be a different phase and possibly a different contractor. We are planning on at least two phases to this project, the treatment facility being primary.

Office Administrator: Julie Orrick

- We are waiting on two backflow reports, all others have been submitted.
- The quarterly invoices will be sent out on July 2nd and 3rd.
- The emailed invoices have been well received after the 1st quarter introduction, if you
 have not signed up for electronic invoices, please contact the office at
 lfecwd.office@gmail.com

Property Manager: Tom Duro

Well #1 pumped 793,000 gallons Well #2 pumped 824,400 Total 1,617,400

· One broken water line was repaired.

Treasurer's Report: Eric Kelley

Financial report was read by Eric Kelley with Jim Donze making a motion to approve.
 Seconded by Bruce Giesler. Motion passed with all in favor.

Communication Received: Don Kuehn

A resident sent an email to the office with information on land application for our treated water. This was discussed between the resident and Rich Cochran prior to the board meeting.

Open Forum: Don Kuehn

Will our USDA loan be affected due to the design change?

Short answer is no.

Our loan is: \$7,500,000.00

1.75%

\$4,175,000.00 (loan amount to repay) for 35 years

\$3,325,000.00 (grant)

Old Business: Mark Basler

Please see Rich Cochran's information

New Business: Mark Basler

Should be a full boating season, at least until Labor Day.

Adjournment:

Jim Donze made the motion to adjourn, seconded by Bruce Giesler. Motion passed with all in favor.

Please note that the Board of Trustees may adjourn to close sessions pursuant to the Revised Statues of the State of Missouri to discuss legal, confidential or privileged attorney-client pursuant to Section 310.021 (1), real estate matters pursuant to Section 610.021 (17) or for any other reason allowed by Missouri Law.

Lake Forest Estates Clean Water District Treatment Options 6/4/2024

Most Stringent Limits Most Difficult to Operate Easier to upset Highest Constructon Cost Highest Operating Costs Highest Replacement Costs More Difficult to Operate Easier to upset Lowest Construction Costs Higher Replacement Costs Higher Replacement Costs Higher Construction Costs Lowest Replacement Costs Lowest Replacement Costs Lowest Replacement Costs Higher Construction Costs Higher Construction Costs Lowest Replacement Costs						Construction		Repl	Replacement
Big Bottom Creek SBR w/ Tertiary Treatment Short upset time Short upset time Easier to upset Highest Construction Cost Highest Construction Cost Highest Construction Costs Highest Operating Costs Highest Construction Costs Highest Construction Costs Stringent Limits Easier to upset Cleaner effluent Cleaner effluent Convest Construction Costs Higher Replacement Costs Higher Replacement Costs Higher Replacement Costs Higher Construction Costs Higher Construction Costs Higher Construction Costs Higher Construction Costs Harder to upset Easy to operate Higher Construction Costs Harder to upset Easy to operate Higher Construction Costs Higher Construction Costs Higher Construction Costs Less Costly Less Costly Lowest Replacement Costs Lowest Oberating Costs Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Meets future relevant Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Meets future relevant Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Meets future relevant Land Difficult/Impossible to Obtain Replacement Costs Higher Replacement Costs Highe	Cotton		Treatment Plant	Pros	Cons	Cost	O&M Cost		Cost
Indian Creek SBR Less Stringent Limits Indian Creek SBR Less Stringent Limits Indian Creek Less Stringent Limits Indian Creek Less Stringent Limits Short upset time Higher Operating Costs Higher Replacement Costs Higher Construction Costs Less Costly	Chris	10	SBR w/ Tertiary Treatment		Most Stringent Limits	\$ 3,503,400	\$ 40,997	❖	40,330
Indian Creek SBR Less Stringent Limits More Difficult to Operate Cleaner effluent Short upset time Lagoon w/ MBBR reactor Less Stringent Limits Indian Creek Lagoon w/ Land Application No limits unless discharge Less Costly Less Costly Less Costly Lend Difficult/Impossible to obtain Meets future relies easiest Higher Construction Costs Less Costly Less Costly Less Costly Lend Difficult/Impossible to obtain Meets future relies easiest Higher Replacement Costs Higher Construction Costs Lowest Replacement Costs Lowest Replacement Costs Higher Construction Costs Lowest Replacement Costs Lowest Construction Costs Lowest Replacement Costs		0	•		Most Difficult to Operate				
Indian Creek SBR Less Stringent Limits Indian Creek SBR Less Stringent Limits Indian Creek Ingoon w/ MBBR reactor Indian Creek Ingoon w/ Land Application Indian Creek Ingoon w/ Land Application Indian Creek					Easier to upset				
Indian Creek SBR Less Stringent Limits More Difficult to Operate Cleaner effluent Lowest Construction Costs Higher Replacement Costs Short upset time Easier to upset Lowest Construction Costs Higher Replacement Costs Higher Replacement Costs Higher Replacement Costs Higher Replacement Costs Harder to upset Medium effluent (prone to high TSS) Harder to upset Higher Construction Costs Less Costly Less Costly Lowest Replacement Costs Lowest Costs Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Meets future rules easiest Higher Replacement Costs Lowest Operating Costs					Highest Constructon Cost				
Indian Creek SBR Less Stringent Limits More Difficult to Operate Cleaner effluent Lowest Construction Costs Higher Operating Costs Higher Replacement Costs Harder to upset Easy to operate Medium effluent (prone to high TSS) Harder to upset Higher Construction Costs Less Costly Less Costly Lowest Replacement Costs Lowest Replacement Costs Higher Construction Costs Less Costly Less Costly Lowest Replacement Costs Lowest Replacement Costs Higher Replacement Costs Less Costly Higher Replacement Costs Lowest Otherstine Costs					Highest Operating Costs				
Indian Creek SBR Less Stringent Limits More Difficult to Operate Cleaner effluent Short upset time Lowest Construction Costs Higher Operating Costs Higher Construction Costs Higher Construction Costs Harder to upset Higher Construction Costs Less Costly Less Costly Lowest Replacement Costs Lowest Stringent Limits Higher Construction Costs Less Costly Lowest Replacement Costs Lowest Replacement Costs Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Meets future rules easiest Higher Replacement Costs Lowest Operating Costs					Highest Replacement Costs				
Indian Creek Indian Creek Ideaner effluent Cleaner effluent Cowest Construction Costs Higher Replacement Costs Higher Construction Costs Higher Construction Costs Lagoon w/ Land Application No limits unless discharge Medium effluent (prone to high TSS) Higher Construction Costs Lagoon w/ Land Application No limits unless discharge Highest Construction Costs Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Meets future rules easiest Higher Replacement Costs Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Meets future rules easiest Higher Replacement Costs			4		More Difficult to Operate	\$ 3,430,700 \$ 21,835	\$ 21,835	٠	36,330
Cleaner effluent Easier to upset		Indian Creek	SBK	רבצי או וווקבוור בווווורי					
Indian Creek Lagoon w/ MBBR reactor Less Stringent Limits Higher Operating Costs Higher Operating Costs Higher Operating Costs Higher Operating Costs Harder to upset Harder to upset Harder to upset Higher Construction Costs Higher Operating Costs Less Costly Lowest Replacement Costs Lumest Aligher Construction Costs Lowest Replacement Costs Lagoon w/ Land Application No limits unless discharge Higher Construction Costs Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Meets future rules easiest Higher Replacement Costs				Cleaner effluent	Easier to upset				
Indian Creek Lagoon w/ MBBR reactor Less Stringent Limits Higher Replacement Costs Less Stringent Limits Medium effluent (prone to high TSS) Harder to upset Higher Construction Costs Less Costly Lowest Replacement Costs Lagoon w/ Land Application No limits unless discharge Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Meets future rules easiest Higher Replacement Costs Lagoon w/ Land Application No limits unless discharge Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Higher Replacement Costs Lagoon w/ Land Application Costs Lagoon w/ Land Application No limits unless discharge Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Higher Replacement Costs				Short upset time	Lowest Construction Costs				
Indian Creek Lagoon w/ MBBR reactor Less Stringent Limits Takes longer to clear upset Easy to operate Medium effluent (prone to high TSS) Harder to upset Higher Construction Costs Less Costly Less Costly Lowest Replacement Costs Upsets not relevant Higher Replacement Costs Inwest Oberating Costs Higher Replacement Costs Higher Replacement Costs Higher Replacement Costs Inwest Oberating Costs					Higher Operating Costs				
Indian Creek Lagoon w/ MBBR reactor Less Stringent Limits Takes longer to clear upset Easy to operate Medium effluent (prone to high TSS) Higher Construction Costs Less Costly Lowest Replacement Costs N/A Lagoon w/ Land Application No limits unless discharge Highest Construction Costs Meets future rules easiest Higher Replacement Costs I owest Operating Costs Higher Replacement Costs Higher Replacement Costs					Higher Replacement Costs				
Indian Creek Lagoon w/ MBBR reactor Less Stringent Limits Takes longer to clear upset Easy to operate Medium effluent (prone to high TSS) Higher Construction Costs Less Costly Lowest Replacement Costs N/A Lagoon w/ Land Application No limits unless discharge Highest Construction Costs Upsets not relevant Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Meets future rules easiest Higher Replacement Costs Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Moest Operating Costs									
Harder to upset Higher Construction Costs Less Costly Higher Operating Costs Less Costly Lowest Replacement Costs N/A Lagoon w/ Land Application No limits unless discharge Highest Construction Costs Upsets not relevant Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Meets future rules easiest Higher Replacement Costs) h	Indian Creek	Lagoon w/ MBBR reactor	Less Stringent Limits	Takes longer to clear upset	\$ 3,725,200 \$ 21,970	\$ 21,970	٠	16,885
N/A Lagoon w/ Land Application No limits unless discharge Higher Construction Costs Upsets not relevant Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Noests future rules easiest Higher Replacement Costs Noests Operating Costs	i			Easy to operate	Medium effluent (prone to high TSS)				
N/A Lagoon w/ Land Application No limits unless discharge Highest Construction Costs Upsets not relevant Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Noests Guture rules easiest Higher Replacement Costs				Harder to upset	Higher Construction Costs				
N/A Lagoon w/ Land Application No limits unless discharge Highest Construction Costs Upsets not relevant Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Neets future rules easiest Higher Replacement Costs				less Costly	Higher Operating Costs		.,,-		
N/A Lagoon w/ Land Application No limits unless discharge Highest Construction Costs Upsets not relevant Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Meets future rules easiest Higher Replacement Costs					Lowest Replacement Costs				
N/A Lagoon w/ Land Application No limits unless discharge Highest Construction Costs Upsets not relevant Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Meets future rules easiest Higher Replacement Costs			w						
Upsets not relevant Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain Meets future rules easiest Higher Replacement Costs Lowest Operating Costs	~	A/N	Lagoon w/ Land Application		Highest Construction Costs	\$ 4,610,000	\$ 4,942	<u>٠</u>	35,200
st Higher Replacement Costs)				Land Difficult/Impossible to obtain	(includes land	73		
I owest Operating Costs				Meets future rules easiest	Higher Replacement Costs	costs)			
				Lowest Operating Costs				_	